

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,830	03/08/2004	James E. Grimm	ZIM0417	2799
7590 07/07/2009 John F. Hoffman, Esq.			EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS LLP 111 East Wayne Street, Suite 800 Fort Wayne, IN 46802			GEORGE, TARA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/795.830 GRIMM ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit TARA R. GEORGE 3733 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-4.8-10.16-19.21.23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4.8-19.23 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3733

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 2-4, 16-19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnett et al. (5904691), in view of Hunter et al. (6235038).

With respect to claim 15, Barnett discloses a surgical system for use during an orthopedic surgical procedure at a surgical site of a patient's body, the system comprising: a surgical navigation system including means (eg. computer) for tracking the position of an object during a surgical procedure; a navigated orthopaedic guide (2) including means (eg. biopsy guide) for being tracked by the surgical navigation system to guide positioning of the orthopaedic guide (200 + 100 +106) at a desired position relative to the surgical site; means (4a-4d) for establishing a datum at a desired position relative to the surgical site; and a surgical component including means for engaging the datum positioned by the orthopaedic guide to locate the surgical component at a desired position relative to the surgical site (102a-102d) (note col. 5 line 41 – col. 6 line 22).

Barnett does not appear to teach that the means for being tracked includes means that establishes datum.

Art Unit: 3733

Hunter teaches an electromagnetic coil attached to the orthopaedic guide, in order to produce a signal that can be tracked (see abstract and col. 4 lines 40-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that anything used to generate a signal is an equivalent means to the means for being tracked of Barnett.

As for claim 17, Hunter teaches an electromagnetic coil attached to the orthopaedic guide, in order to produce a signal that can be tracked (see abstract and col. 4 lines 40-47).

As for claim 2, Barnett further discloses the surgical system of claim 15 wherein the means for establishing a datum comprises means for establishing one or more datums relative to the surgical site selected from the list consisting of pins, screws, bars, fins, rails, dovetails, planar surfaces, holes, slots, and/or notches (see fig. 1).

As for claim 3, Barnett further discloses the surgical system of claim 15 wherein the means for establishing a datum comprises means for establishing an intermediate datum separate from the guide itself (note use of 102a-102d).

As for claim 4, Barnett further discloses the surgical system of claim 15 wherein the means for establishing a datum comprises a guide body including a plurality of holes through the body for guiding the placement of pins relative to the surgical site (see fig. 1).

As for claim 8, Barnett further discloses the surgical system of claim 15 wherein the means for establishing a datum includes a base member (100) and a datum guide member (200) connected to the base member such that the position of the datum guide

Art Unit: 3733

member is adjustable relative to the base member to a desired datum guide member position as indicated by the surgical navigation system.

As for claim 16, Barnett further discloses the system of claim 15 wherein the means for tracking comprises multiple sensors (4a-4d) to detect and triangulate the position of the orthopaedic guide.

As for claim 18, Barnett further discloses the system of claim 15 wherein the means for establishing a datum comprises a drill guide to guide a drill in forming a hole in a bone at the surgical site (see col. 5 lines 37-40.

As for claim 19, Barnett further discloses the system of claim 15 wherein the means for establishing a datum comprises at least one hole (106) in the orthopaedic guide to guide placement of a pin adjacent the surgical site.

As for claim 21, Barnett further discloses the system of claim 15 wherein the surgical component comprises a cut guide to guide a cutter to cut a bone to receive an implant (see col. 5 lines 37-40).

As for claim 25, Barnett further teaches the system of claim 15 wherein the means for engaging the datum comprises at least one hole (106) formed in the surgical component to receive the datum in the form of a pin.

With regard to statements of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Barnett which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent

Art Unit: 3733

teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983).

Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claims 9, 10 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnett and Hunter, as applied to claim 15, in view of Bowman et al. (4952213).

As for claims 9, 10 and 23, Barnett and Hunter do not disclose wherein the base member is able to be secured to a distal portion of a femur and the datum guide member is adjustable relative to the base member to establish a datum having desired flexion-extension and varus-valgus angles as indicated by the surgical navigation system; wherein the base member is able to be secured to a proximal portion of a tibia and the datum guide member is adjustable relative to the base member to establish a datum having desired posterior slope and varus-valgus angles as indicated by the surgical navigation system; and wherein the cut guide comprises a distal femoral cut guide.

Bowman teaches wherein a base member (24) is able to be secured to a distal portion of a femur and a guide member (10) is adjustable relative to the base member to establish desired flexion-extension and varus-valgus angles (see fig. 1); wherein the base member is able to be secured to a proximal portion of a tibia (see fig. 1) and the guide member is adjustable relative to the base member to establish a desired posterior

Art Unit: 3733

slope and varus-valgus angles (see fig. 1); and wherein the cut guide comprises a distal femoral cut guide (see fig. 1) in order to provide an apparatus for accurately positioning a cutting tool.

It is noted that the features taught by Bowman are very well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the base member and guide member of Barnett and Hunter in view of Bowman in order to provide the guide the ability to more accurately position a cutting tool.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 15, and subsequent dependent claims, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TARA R. GEORGE whose telephone number is (571)272-3402. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8am-5pm.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/795,830

Art Unit: 3733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. R. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 3733 /Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733